## 160,000 Worked Less Than 35 Hours Per Week in 1998

Employment was 633,000

On average, about one-fourth of all employees worked less than 35 hours per week from 1994 to 1998. Approximately one-fifth (110,000) of them are actually considered part-time employees because their standard work week is less than 35 hours. There are eight percent (50,200) of the total who are considered full-time employees because their standard work week is more than 35 hours. So, why did these people work less than 35 hours? The reasons are reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in their *Geographic Profile of Employment and Unemployment*.<sup>1</sup> Both part- and full-time employees were reported in only two of the same categories, "other reasons" and "slack work or business conditions."

## Continued on page 2

Usually Work Part-time Reason Five-Year Average
Other family or personal obligations
In school or training
Other reasons
Retired or had social security limits on their earnings
Could only find part-time work
Slack work or business conditions
Childcare problems

Usually Work Full-time
Reason Five-Year Average
Other reasons
Using the five-year average from 1994 to 1998 and excluding the "other reasons" category for persons working 1 to 34 hours, the most cited reason for working less than full-time hours by people who usually work full-time was taking a: Vacation or personal day
Using the five-year average from 1994 to 1998 and excluding the "other reasons" category for persons working 1 to 34 hours, the second most given reason for not being atwork over 34 hours was taking a day off for a: Holiday, whether legal or religious
Slack work or business conditions
Weather related curtailments 3,200

## Continued from page 1

In 1998 the number of persons working 1 to 34 hours because of a holiday, legal or religious, was a sizeable 22,000. This is a substantial increase over the 7,000 reported in 1994. This spike is likely explained by the calendar cycle where additional holidays fall within the reporting period. All other states also experienced this same type of jump. As a percentage of July 1999 population, New Hampshire's total increased by 1.25 percent.

All other New England states increased by less with the exception of Massachusetts, which matched our increase as a percentage of population.

Rick Ricker

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> United States Department of Labor. Bureau of Labor Statistics. <u>Geographic Profile of Employment and Unemployment</u>. 1994-1998, published 1995-1999.